

# WITHDRAWAL SHEET

Ronald Reagan Library

**Collection Name**

**Withdrawer**

IGP 8/3/2005

**File Folder** CHRON FILE (05/06/1983 - 05/15/1983)

**FOIA**

F05-139/01

**Box Number** 60

COOK

151GP

DOC NO	Doc Type	Document Description	No of Pages	Doc Date	Restrictions	
1	MEMO	ROBERTS TO FIELDING RE CORRESPONDENCE REGARDING PRICE LIST FOR COLOR PRINTS IN FEDERAL BUILDINGS (PARTIAL)	1	5/6/1983	B6	469
2	MEMO	ROBERTS TO FIELDING RE REQUEST FOR PARDON	1	5/13/1983	B6	1280
3	MEMO	FIELDING TO DAVID C. STEPHENSON RE PARDON REQUEST	1	5/13/1983	B6	1281
4	LETTER	FIELDING TO RICARDO RECHANY RE REQUEST	1	5/13/1983	B6	1282

---

Freedom of Information Act - [5 U.S.C. 552(b)]

- B-1 National security classified information [(b)(1) of the FOIA]
- B-2 Release would disclose internal personnel rules and practices of an agency [(b)(2) of the FOIA]
- B-3 Release would violate a Federal statute [(b)(3) of the FOIA]
- B-4 Release would disclose trade secrets or confidential or financial information [(b)(4) of the FOIA]
- B-6 Release would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy [(b)(6) of the FOIA]
- B-7 Release would disclose information compiled for law enforcement purposes [(b)(7) of the FOIA]
- B-8 Release would disclose information concerning the regulation of financial institutions [(b)(8) of the FOIA]
- B-9 Release would disclose geological or geophysical information concerning wells [(b)(9) of the FOIA]

E.O. 13233

C. Closed in accordance with restrictions contained in donor's deed of gift.

THE WHITE HOUSE

WASHINGTON

May 6, 1983

MEMORANDUM FOR FRED F. FIELDING

FROM: JOHN G. ROBERTS *gts*

SUBJECT: Continuing Correspondence from [REDACTED]

You will recall that [REDACTED] after receiving from the President a gracious reply in response to [REDACTED] gift of a color print, sent Mr. Deaver a price list for similar prints to be placed in every federal building, noting that [REDACTED] was "out to make a buck." Deaver sent the package to you and you sent a very restrained and polite response to what was a rather crass letter. Now [REDACTED] has sent you a nasty letter, objecting to your answering the letter [REDACTED] sent to Deaver. We appear to have a live one on the line in [REDACTED] and rather than reeling [REDACTED] in I think it would be better simply to cut the line: no response.